

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/009,530	01/22/2002	Trevor Martin	124-909 4032	
7:	590 07/13/2004		EXAMINER	
Nixon & Vanderhye 8th Floor			ANDERSON, MATTHEW A	
1100 North Glebe Road			ART UNIT PAPER NUME	
Arlington, VA 22201-4714			1765	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(2)				
Advisory Action	10/009,530	MARTIN ET AL.					
·	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Matthew A. Anderson	1765					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	ation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF f extension and the corresponding among the shortened statutory period for reply	g date of the final reject HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr unt of the fee. The app originally set in the final	ion. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action: or				
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	e later than three months after the mail FR 1.704(b).	ling date of the final reje	ction, even if				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi <u>e Continuation Sheet</u> .	dered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5-6</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by tl	ne Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:							
	SI IDE	IPINE & NORTON EXA					
	our Man	In EXA	MINEA				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant has overlooked the fact that the Goodhue reference teaches both CBE and MBE as methods useable to achieve tapered layers. Combined as previously presented by the examiner, this suggests growing tapered epitaxial layers by CBE (Chemical Beam Epitaxy) using mechanical masks. The references cited by the examiner were used in the rejection as previously stated..